

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,076	08/25/2000	Siamack Nemazie	Nemazie-01US	5669
27728	7590 11/17/2005		EXAM	INER
LAW OFFICES OF IMAM 111 N. MARKET STREET, SUITE 1010			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
SAN JOSE, C		510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/648,076	NEMAZIE, SIAMACK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven HD Nguyen	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	26 August 2005	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	··
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		rs prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	• •
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
<u> </u>	ion	
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 		•
5) Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.	idrawn nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are allowed.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner, Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	' ' ' '	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)	Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/648,076

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshifuji (USP 5631902).

Yoshifuji discloses a switching network (Fig 1) comprising m identical modules (Fig 1, Ref 220), said module further comprising an input stage (Fig 2, Ref 221-1 First stage, ($n = n \times m = k$) switch) comprising of a ($n \times k$) switch wherein n is an integer number representing the number of input lines and k is an integer number representing the number of output lines; a middle stage (Fig 2, Ref 222-1, second stage, ($N = k' \times G = k'$) switch) switch comprising of a ($k' \times k'$) switch, k' is an integer number representing the number of inputs and outputs; and an output stage comprising of a ($k \times n$) switch (Fig 2, Ref 223-1, third stage, ($m = k \times n = g$) switch,

Art Unit: 2665

See col. 1, lines 43-59 wherein input or output ports of center stage is greater than output or input ports of input and output stage N' or G' > m to satisfy the function). Yoshifuji fails to disclose (m*Q (k'/m)) > k. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a function to select k' = k in order to have k' > k stage into Yoshifuji's system because it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954) and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). The motivation would have been to branch the connections.

4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henrion (USP 5237565).

Henrion discloses a switching network (Fig 11) comprising m identical modules (Fig 11, Ref PS1 to PS16), said module further comprising an input stage (Fig 11, Ref Psi1, (n = n = 16 x) m = k = 16) switch) comprising of a $(n \times k)$ switch wherein n is an integer number representing the number of input lines and k is an integer number representing the number of output lines; a middle stage (Fig 11, Ref Psc1, $(n = n = 32 \times m = k = 32)$ switch) switch comprising of a $(k' \times k')$ switch, k' is an integer number representing the number of inputs and outputs; and an output stage comprising of a $(k \times n)$ switch (Fig 11, Ref Pso1, $(n = n = 16 \times m = k = 16)$ switch, See col. 25, lines 43-62 wherein input or output ports of center stage is greater than output or input ports of input and output stage m = $16 \times Q$ (k' = 32 / m = 16)) > k = 16, 32 = k' > 16 = k). Henrion fails to disclose (m*Q(k'/m)) > k. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a function to select k' = k in order to have k' > k stage into Henrion's system because it has been held that the provision of adjustability,

Application/Control Number: 09/648,076

Art Unit: 2665

where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954) and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). The motivation would have been to branch the connections.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 1 allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As claim 1, the prior arts fail to disclose a switching network including rows and columns of switches comprising a first stage of switches defining a first column of said switching network having input lines and output lines and comprising m (n x k) input switches, wherein m is an integer number, n is an integer number representing the number of input lines and k is an integer number representing the number of output lines; a second stage of switches defining a second column of said switching network comprising of m (k' x k') middle switches, k' is an integer number representing the number of inputs and outputs; and a third stage of switches defining a third column of said switching network comprising of m (k x n) switches, and a plurality of modules, each module defining a row of the switching network and including one input switch of the first stage of switches, one middle switch of the second stage of switches, one output switch of the third stage of switches, wherein the modules of the plurality of modules are identical and k' is selected such that m*Q(k'/m) > k (where Q(x/y) denotes the quotient of dividing x by y) to allow using m switches in the second stage.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,076

Art Unit: 2665

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665 Page 6

11/9/05